



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/010,304	11/08/2001	Timothy Ringeisen	KN P 0020	5717
42016 7590 07/30/2009 KENSEY NASH CORPORATION 735 PENNSYLVANIA DRIVE EXTON, PA 19341				
EXAMINER SILVERMAN, ERIC E				
ART UNIT 1618		PAPER NUMBER		
MAIL DATE 07/30/2009		DELIVERY MODE PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/010,304

Applicant(s)

RINGEISEN, TIMOTHY

Examiner

ERIC E. SILVERMAN

Art Unit

1618

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 June 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 6-8, 10, 28, 33-46, 48 and 52-57 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 6-8, 10, 28, 33-46, 48 and 52-57 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

A response and amendment were entered on 6/4/2009. Claims 6-8, 10, 28, 33-46, 48, and 52-57 are pending; all claims are treated on the merits in this action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 6-8, 10, 28, 33-46, 48, and 52-57 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement for reasons of record and those discussed below.

1. *The claim amendments.*

Independent claim 6 has been amended to require that the first solvent consist essentially of tetrahydrofuran, and that the second liquid be selected from a list of 8 solvents. Independent claim 8 has been amended to be similar to claim 1. Independent claim 28 has been amended to require that the first liquid (dissolving solvent) consists essentially of tetrahydrofuran. Claim 48, which ultimately depends on claim 8, has been amended to further narrow the list of possible second liquids. Independent claim 55 is not amended in this response.

2. *Applicants' unpersuasive arguments*

Applicants argue that "all of the combinations of dissolving and swelling agents yield mixtures in which the entire volume of polymer solution plus swelling agent has transformed into a gel, as expressly recited on page 12 of the specification."

Contrary to Applicants' remarks, page 12 of the specification does not show any combinations of polymer, dissolving liquid, and swelling liquid that yields mixtures that gel in the manner required by the claims. Page 12 describes an experiment where 12 different polymers were each contacted with a different solvent, and the solvent contacting each polymer was classified as either one that either dissolves the polymer or swells the polymer. None of the polymers is identified by name or chemical structure; only the freezing point, boiling point, and vapor pressure of the polymer/solvent mixtures are provided. Page 12 does indicate that some of the unidentified polymers thicken upon addition of particular solvents to the dissolved polymer. Thickening is not the claimed gelation. Nor is there any indication that there is no phase separation, as the claims require. Nothing in page 12, or anywhere else in the specification, teaches the artisan the proper conditions for achieving the claimed result.

Even if page 12 taught what Applicants allege it teaches (which it clearly does not), the showing is limited to three nine unidentified polymers. It is impossible to determine if these unidentified polymers are commensurate in scope with the claims. Furthermore, the showing is limited to 12.5% solutions of polymer in THF. Given the highly counter-predictive teachings in the art, this showing can not show that the claims, which are open to any concentration of polymer, are enabled.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 1618

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ERIC E. SILVERMAN whose telephone number is (571)272-5549. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 7:00 am to 5:00 pm and Friday 7:00 am to noon.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hartley can be reached on 571 272 0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Eric E Silverman/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1618